

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,303		07/23/2003	T. William Hutchens	016866-002340US	1931
20350	7590	02/23/2006		EXAMINER	
		TOWNSEND AN	VENCI, DAVID J		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		CA 94111-3834	1641		

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/626,303	HUTCHENS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David J. Venci	1641		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David J. Venci	1641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED January 26, 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOI	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70)	divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of le appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 224)
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		mphant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>32-40</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>none</i> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.  10. The first continuous continuou	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	, , , ,		nce because:
<ul><li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	10(s). John	e ·
		LONG V. LE	
	SUPE	RVISORY PATENT EX	AMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Continuation of 3: Applicants' amendment raises new issues of patentability that may require additional consideration and/or prior art search. Specifically, independent claims 71 and 72 are amended to add, inter alia, the limitation of a metal substrate "in the shape of a plate or strip" with "covalently" attached absorbent. To date, Examiner has not examined the aforementioned added claim language for compliance with Sections 101, 102, 103, 112 and/or 132 of Title 35 U.S.C. Examiner is required to perform additional consideration and/or prior art search in order to examine the aforementioned added claim language for statutory compliance.

Continuation of 11: Applicants' amendment raises new issues of patentability that may require additional consideration and/or prior art search. Specifically, independent claims 71 and 72 are amended to add, inter alia, the limitation of a metal substrate "in the shape of a plate or strip" with "covalently" attached absorbent. To date, Examiner has not examined the aforementioned added claim language for compliance with Sections 101, 102, 103, 112 and/or 132 of Title 35 U.S.C. Examiner is required to perform additional consideration and/or prior art search in order to examine the aforementioned added claim language for statutory compliance.